

# Summary of the 2008 through 2012 Portfolio Analysis

## Question 6 data as aligned to the IACC Strategic Plan

**Please note that the 2011 and 2012 data are still draft data and have not yet been finalized – they are still subject to change.**

Please read the following notes prior to reviewing the table:

- Objective labels are representative of the 2011 Strategic Plan. Thus, projects from 2008 and 2009 may have been labeled with a different objective number compared to the label seen in this chart. However, the funding data is accurately matched to its associated objective on the left even if the objective number was altered in previous years.
- For projects from 2008-2010 there is a direct link to the list of projects for each objective. To view the individual projects in 2011 and 2012, please refer to the project lists included in the meeting materials.
- Blue font indicates revisions in the text of the objective, including additions and substitutions in text that occurred from the 2008 Strategic Plan to the 2009 Strategic Plan. The red font indicates revisions, including additions and substitutions in text that occurred from the 2009 Strategic Plan to the 2010 Strategic Plan.
- The IACC recommended budget represents the most updated budget cost for 2011. If the recommended budget has been revised since 2008 the year the revision took place is found in parentheses following the budget figure. Thus, if there is no mention of a revision, the IACC recommended budget has remained constant from 2008-2011.
- Current project and funding status for each question or objective is indicated within the table by colored highlighting of the objective. Any objective highlighted green has greater than or equal to the recommended funding; any objective highlighted yellow has some degree of funding or active projects, but less than the recommended amount; while any objective highlighted red has no funding or active projects.
- Highlighting of each total gives an indication of the progress toward meeting the IACC recommended budget for each objective. Green highlighting indicates that funding fully meets the recommend budget. Yellow highlighting denotes that funding for a particular objective partially meets the IACC recommended budget, while red highlighting indicates that there has been no funding towards the particular objective.
- Please note that while the green, yellow and red indicators suggest a funding status for each year and that looking across all years may give some indication of a trend, that some agencies and organizations provide all the funding for multiyear grants in a single year, resulting in the appearance of “less funding” in other years, but that projects fulfilling the objectives may still have been ongoing in the years where the funding appears to be less. Thus, it is important to note the numbers of projects in looking across the chart, and to keep in mind that in a series, where, for example, most of the indicators are green, that the objective is likely to be largely “complete” according to the funding-based measure. This, however, does not provide information on whether or not the objectives were completed in terms of intended project results and outcomes. Please also note that in some cases, projects may have been funded, but were accomplished with smaller budgets than anticipated, so a “yellow” designation may not necessarily be indicative of ongoing need for more effort.

## QUESTION 6: WHAT DOES THE FUTURE HOLD, PARTICULARLY FOR ADULTS?

IACC Strategic Plan Objectives	Funding					
Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
Launch at least two studies to assess and characterize variation in the quality of life for adults on the ASD spectrum as it relates to characteristics of the service delivery system (e.g., safety, integrated employment, post-secondary educational opportunities, community inclusion, self-determination, relationships, and access to health services and community-based services) and determine best practices by 2012.	<u>6.2</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.S.A</u> \$20,000 <u>1 project</u>	<u>6.S.A</u> \$283,837 <u>2 projects</u>	<u>6.S.A</u> \$542,193 6 projects	<u>6.S.A</u> \$1,013,156 10 projects	<u>\$1,859,186</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$5,000,000 over 3 years</i>						
Evaluate at least one model, at the State and local level, in which existing programs to assist people with disabilities (e.g., Social Security Administration, Rehabilitation Services Administration) meet the needs of transitioning youth and adults with ASD by 2013.	N/A	<u>6.S.B</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.S.B</u> \$700,000 <u>2 projects</u>	<u>6.S.B</u> \$700,000 2 projects	<u>6.S.B</u> \$700,000 2 projects	<u>\$2,100,000</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$5,000,000 over 3 years</i>						
Develop one method to identify adults across the ASD spectrum who may not be diagnosed, or are misdiagnosed, to support service linkage, better understand prevalence, and track outcomes with consideration of ethical issues (insurance, employment, stigma) by 2015.	N/A	<u>6.S.C</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.S.C</u> \$28,000 <u>1 project</u>	<u>6.S.C</u> \$28,000 1 project	<u>6.S.C</u> \$0 1 project	<u>\$56,000</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$8,400,000 over 5 years</i>						
Conduct at least one study to measure and improve the quality of lifelong supports being delivered in community settings to adults across the spectrum with ASD through provision of specialized training for direct care staff, parents, and legal guardians, including assessment and development of ASD-specific training, if necessary, by 2015.	N/A	<u>6.S.D</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.S.D</u> \$619,163 <u>3 projects</u>	<u>6.S.D</u> \$0 2 projects	<u>6.S.D</u> \$0 1 project	<u>\$619,163</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$7,500,000 over 5 years</i>						
Develop at least two individualized community-based interventions that improve quality-of-life or health outcomes for the spectrum of adults with ASD by 2015.	<u>6.5</u> \$2,471,000 <u>1 project</u>	<u>6.L.A</u> \$509,965 <u>2 projects</u>	<u>6.L.A</u> \$2,285,071 <u>18 projects</u>	<u>6.L.A</u> \$2,154,170 15 projects	<u>6.L.A</u> \$616,119 11 projects	<u>\$8,036,325</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$12,900,000 over 5 years</i>						
Conduct one study that builds on carefully characterized cohorts of children and youth with ASD to determine how interventions, services, and supports delivered during childhood impact adult health and quality of life outcomes by 2015.	N/A	<u>6.L.B</u> \$718,290 <u>2 projects</u>	<u>6.L.B</u> \$1,280,790 <u>3 projects</u>	<u>6.L.B</u> \$1,348,557 4 projects	<u>6.L.B</u> \$639,346 2 projects	<u>\$3,986,983</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$5,000,000 over 5 years</i>						
Conduct comparative effectiveness research that includes a cost-effectiveness component to examine community-based interventions, services, and supports to improve health outcomes and quality of life for adults on the ASD spectrum over age 21 by 2018. Topics should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community housing for people with ASD;</li> <li>• Successful life transitions for people with ASD, including from post-secondary education to adult services, employment, sibling relationships, and day programs; and</li> <li>• Meeting the service and support needs of older adults with ASD.</li> </ul>	N/A	<u>6.L.C</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.L.C</u> \$774,644 <u>2 projects</u>	<u>6.L.C</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.L.C</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>\$774,644</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$6,000,000 over 5 years</i>						
Conduct implementation research to test the results from comparative effectiveness research in real-world settings, including a cost-effectiveness component to improve health outcomes and quality of life for adults over 21 on the ASD spectrum by 2023.	N/A	<u>6.L.D</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.L.D</u> \$0 0 projects	<u>6.L.D</u> \$75,000 2 projects	<u>6.L.D</u> \$60,000 3 projects	<u>\$135,000</u>
<i>IACC Recommended Budget: \$4,000,000 over 5 years</i>						
Not specific to any objective	<u>6.Other</u> \$467,683 <u>2 projects</u>	<u>6.Other</u> \$159,444 <u>2 projects</u>	<u>6.Other</u> \$671,619 <u>3 projects</u>	<u>6.Other</u> \$50,000 3 projects	<u>6.Other</u> \$830,556 4 projects	\$2,179,302
<b>Total funding for Question 6</b>	<b>\$9,796,491</b> 9 projects	<b>\$1,407,699</b> 7 projects	<b>\$6,643,124</b> 34 projects	<b>\$4,897,920</b> 35 projects	<b>\$3,859,177</b> 34 projects	<b>\$19,746,603</b>